

MEETING EVALUATION SURVEY
Life Long Learning GRUNDTVIG – EDPAPS Project



In order to carry out the evaluation of the project it was sent to each partner , after each meeting , an evaluation questionnaire .
They questionnaire are taken into consideration several aspects , specifically the questions posed to each were as follows:

1. Hotel:
2. Pre-meeting arrangements:
3. Venue for meeting:
4. Information Packs:
5. Helpfulness of staff:
6. How satisfied were you with the programme?
7. How satisfied were you with how the meeting was chaired / discussion was facilitated?
8. Did you feel you had an opportunity to participate on the decision making?
9. To what extent did the discussions contribute to building an effective partnership?
10. How could presentation and discussion at future meetings be improved?
11. Do you consider the facilities adequate for the meeting?
12. How satisfied were you with the: Opportunity to find out about Local/national culture and issues
13. How satisfied were you with the: Opportunity to network with People from other countries
14. How satisfied were you with the: Sightseeing tour of city/region
15. How satisfied were you with the: Meal
16. To what extent has this Meeting provided added value to the work of your project?

The questionnaire provides an evaluation scale that goes from 1 to 5 dive

1 means Very poor

2 Poor

3 Satisfactory

4 Good


5 Excellent

The questionnaire was administered to each partner to face meeting . It was then administered 6 times:


- ✓ for the meeting in Romania held from 5 to 8 December 2013;
- ✓ for the meeting in Greece 10 to 13 April 2014
- ✓ for the meeting in Italy 26 to 29 June 2014
- ✓ for the meeting in Turkey 4 to 7 September 2014
- ✓ for the meeting in Poland 23 to 26 April 2015
- ✓ for the meeting in Germany 25 to 29 June 2015

The average rating was 4.07 so good . This result can be summarized graphically :

Ratings Total Media



In the following graph we see that overall the results were obtained for each question.



The average is 4,07 on the different questions asked in the questionnaire .

" Helpfulness of staff " is the question that has been most successful with an average of 4.68 / 5 , followed by " How satisfied were you with the: Meal" with 4.64 / 5 ; however, also they had high scores

" How satisfied were you with the: Opportunity to find out about Local / national cultures and issues" with 4.4 / 5


" How satisfied were you with the program ? " With 4.28 / 5





" How satisfied were you with how the meeting was chaired / facilitated discussion was ? "

and " To what extent has this Meeting provided added value to the work of your project ? " with 4.12 / 5


However there has been no result under 3 .

Analyzing the results individually , graphically we get the following :







How satisfied were you with the programme?




How satisfied were you with how the meeting was chaired / discussion was facilitated?




Did you feel you had an opportunity to participate on the decision making?



To what extent did the discussions contribute to building an effective partnership?




How could presentation and discussion at future meetings be improved?




■ How could presentation and discussion at future meetings be improved?

Do you consider the facilities adequate for the meeting?




■ Do you consider the facilities adequate for the meeting?

How satisfied were you with the:Opportunity to find out about Local/national culture and issues




■ How satisfied were you with the:Opportunity to find out about Local/national culture and issues

How satisfied were you with the:Opportunity to network with People from other countries




■ How satisfied were you with the:Opportunity to network with People from other countries


How satisfied were you with the: Sightseeing tour of city/region



How satisfied were you with the:Meal



To what extent has this Meeting provided added value to the work of your project?



We enclose the questionnaire for completeness:

MEETING EVALUATION SURVEY
Life Long Learning GRUNDTVIG – EDPAPS Project

Please return it to EURO-NET when completed (info@eurocoopnet.eu).



Score using this scale:

1 Very poor 2 Poor 3 Satisfactory 4 Good 5 Excellent

	RO 5-8 dec13	EL 10-13 apr14	IT 26-29 jun14	TK 04- 07 set14	PL 23-26 apr15	DE 25-29 jun15
Hotel:						
Pre-meeting arrangements:						
Venue for meeting:						
Information Packs:						
Helpfulness of staff:						
How satisfied were you with the programme?						
How satisfied were you with how the meeting was chaired / discussion was facilitated?						
Did you feel you had an opportunity to participate on the decision making?						
To what extent did the discussions contribute to building an effective partnership?						
How could presentation and discussion at future meetings be improved?						
Do you consider the facilities adequate for the meeting?						
How satisfied were you with the: Opportunity to find out about Local/national culture and issues						
How satisfied were you with the: Opportunity to network with People from other countries						
How satisfied were you with the: Sightseeing tour of city/region						
How satisfied were you with the: Meal						
To what extent has this Meeting provided added value to the work of your project?						

Suggestions for improvement to be considered in future transnational meetings:

_better communication and meetings

Any Other Comments:

Please tick which partner you represent:

- Romania RO, Pro Scoala
- Romania RO, Save The Children
- Turkey TK
- Italy IT
- Germany DE
- Poland PL
- Greece EL